

Document last 4 digits	Region Impacted	CD Source
0207	3	Wagner
0208	3	Wagner
0209	8	Drake
0210	3	Wagner
0211	3	Wagner
0212	3	Wagner
0213	7	Ameren Labadie
0214	NA	NA
0215	NA	NA
0216	NA	NA
0217	NA	NA
0218	3	Wagner
0219	8	Drake
0225	3	Wagner
0226	8	Drake
0227	8	Drake
0228	8	Drake
0229	3	Wagner
0230	3	Wagner
0231	5	Gibson
0232	3	Wagner
0233	7	IPL Burlington and Ottumwa
0234	5	Gibson
0235	5	Gibson
0236	5	Gibson
0237	NA	NA

0238	7	Ameren Labadie
0239	7	Ameren Labadie
0240	7	Ameren Labadie
0241	7	Ameren Labadie
0244	8	Drake
0245	NA	NA
0246	7	Ameren Labadie
0247	3	Wagner
0248	3	Wagner
0249	8	Drake
0250	7	Ameren Labadie
0251	7	Ameren Labadie
0252	7	Ameren Labadie
0253	7	Ameren Labadie
0254	8	Drake
0255	7	Ameren Labadie
0256	7	Ameren Labadie
0257	7	Ameren Labadie
0258	7	Ameren Labadie
0259	3	Wagner
0260	7	Ameren Labadie
0261	7	Ameren Labadie
0262	8	Drake
0263	8	Drake

0264	3	Wagner
0265	NA	NA
0266	7	Ameren Labadie
0267	3	Wagner
0268	7	Ameren Labadie
0273	6	Dolet Hills
0285	5	Marion
0287	7	Sheldon and Gentleman
0288	8	Drake
0289	5	Gibson, Brown, Clifty Creek
0290	6	Limestone, Parish
0291	5	Marion
0292	3	Wagner
0293	7	Ameren Labadie
0294	8	Drake
0295	5	AB Brown
0296	7	Ameren Labadie
0297	7	Ameren Labadie
0298	6	Martin Lake
0299	8	Drake
0300	6	Big Brown, Monticello, Martin Lake
0301	8	Drake

0302	7	Ameren Labadie
0303	3	Wagner
0304	3	Wagner
0305	4	Plant Scherer
0306	6	Big Brown, Monticello, Martin Lake
0307	3	Wagner
0308	3	Wagner
0309	6	All facilities not intended as U/A
0310	3	Wagner
0311	7	Ameren Labadie
0312	3	Wagner
0313	NA	NA
0314	6	Martin Lake
0315	3	Wagner
0316	5	Areas in Ohio
0317	8	Drake
0318	8	Drake
0319	8	Drake
0320	8	Drake
0321	5	Gavin
0322	6	Sandy Creek
0323	5	Gibson
0324	5	Gibson

0325	5	Gibson
0326	6	Muskogee
0327	7	Ameren Labadie
0328	5	Alton Steel
0329	5	Kyger
0330	7	Nearman Creek
0331	6	Muskogee
0332	7	Ameren Labadie
0333	7	Ameren Labadie
0334	NA	NA
0335	5	Belle River, St. Clair, Monroe
0336	duplicate of 0335	duplicate of 0335
0337	3	Wagner
0338	8	Drake
0339	7	Ameren Labadie
0340	5, 6	Kyger, Dolet Hills
0341	6	Big Brown, Martin Lake, Monticello, Sandow

	0342	NA	NA
	0343	6	Independence; White Bluff
	0344	6	
	0345	6	
	0346	8	Drake
	0347	7	Ameren Labadie
0349		6	Dolet Hills
0351		6	Nelson Facilities
0352		5	Monroe
0353		6	Dolet Hills
Pending		8	Drake
Pending		3	Wagner
Pending		7	Ameren Labadie
Pending		5	Gavin
Pending		5	Gibson
Pending		5	AB Brown
Pending		6	Dolet Hills
Pending		5	Belle River, St. Clair

Pending
Pending

5
6

Monroe
Muskogee

Originator	General Nature of Comment
Anonymous Citizen	EPA should focus on medical waste incinerators and large industries in Curtis Bay Region
Anonymous Citizen	Lives in Glen Burnie, can't breathe, blames BGE plant off Ft Smallwood Rd
Anonymous Citizen	Drake shows violations, we should designate them as nonattainment
Anonymous Citizen	Lives in Stoney Beach condos by the plant, unpleasant experience even with a nice boardwalk
Heather Didonato (general public)	Lives in Stoney Beach community, has asthma.
Anonymous Citizen	Wagner should be nonattainment
Sara Edgar (Sierra Club)	Mass campaign, supports EPA's intended nonattainment designation
Anonymous Citizen	Supports clean air
Anonymous Citizen	Supports clean air
Anonymous Citizen	Supports clean air and to halt climate change
Anonymous Citizen	Supports clean air
Anonymous Citizen	EPA should encourage MD to improve air quality in Anen Arundel County
Atmospheric Scientist w NCAR, but personal opinion	Drake shows violations, we would be cowardly and scientifically dishonest to call the area unclassifiable
Anonymous Citizen	Supports nonattainment area, but too meek and mild
Anonymous Citizen	Urges EPA not to be influenced by small group of radicals wanting tighter SO2 regs
Susan Permut (public citizen)	Concerned about air quality, wants us to enforce stringently
Anonymous Citizen	Wants EPA to make area nonattainment to protect residents and visitors, but especially children
Anonymous Citizen	EGUs in general area are noncompliant with our SO2 regs
Anonymous Citizen	Lives in Stoney Beach condos by the plant, wants EPA to push company to dismantle plant and replace with clean sources
Madeline Hirschland	Gibson is actually violating standard, we should remedy the problem
John Leopold (former County Exec and State Delegate)	Supports nonattainment designation
Jeff Hansen, Sr Manager (Alliant Energy)	We should designate the areas as attainment based on additional modeling that was submitted in December 2015
Anonymous Citizen	Gibson is actually violating standard, we should remedy the problem
S. J. Jay (public citizen)	Gibson is actually violating standard, we should remedy the problem
Anonymous Citizen	Gibson is actually violating standard, we should remedy the problem
Anonymous Citizen	Has asthma, wants air to be clean.

Dave Hinson (MO State Rep)	Area should be unclassifiable based on uncertainties in modeling and partial year of clean data
Dave Schatz (MO State Senator)	Area should be unclassifiable based on uncertainties in modeling and partial year of clean data
Kirk Mathreys (MO State Rep)	Area should be unclassifiable based on uncertainties in modeling and partial year of clean data
Jeanne Clauson (public citizen)	We should reduce SO2 emissions in the Labadie area
S Masias et al (public citizens)	Drake violates NAAQS, EPA has failed citizens of area
Anonymous Citizen	Unclear which nonattainment area the citizen supports
Patricia Schuba (Labadie Environmental Org)	Supports intended nonattainment area
John Garofolo (public citizen)	Supports intended nonattainment area, also asks parties to bring all power plants in area into compliance
John Garofolo (public citizen)	Supports intended nonattainment area, also asks parties to bring all power plants in area into compliance (duplicate)
Anonymous Citizen	EPA should designate area as nonattainment to stop harmful SO2 emissions
Anonymous Citizen	Supports nonattainment designation
Anonymous Citizen	Supports nonattainment designation and require facility to control emissions
Anonymous Citizen	Supports nonattainment designation
Christine Alt (Public Citizen)	Supports nonattainment designation and associated controls that will be required; also requests outreach for members of the community
Alan Goins (Former Employee of Colorado Springs Utility)	Opposes a nonattainment designation for the area
Anonymous Citizen	Supports nonattainment designation (also worried about coal ash)
Anonymous Citizen	Supports nonattainment designation and require facility to control emissions
Anonymous Citizen	Ameren must clean up its emissions
Gerry and Jerry Friedman (Public Citizens)	Supports nonattainment designation and require facility to control emissions
Ronni Allen (Public Citizen)	Concerned about air quality, wants EPA, MD, and Raven Power to bring power plants into full compliance
Lisa Zerbe (Public Citizen)	Supports nonattainment designation and require facility to control emissions
Anonymous Citizen	Supports nonattainment designation and require facility to control emissions
Anonymous Citizen	Supports a nonattainment designation for the area; EPA should protect public health and base its decision on all available data
Laura van der Pol (Citizen Group)	Supports a nonattainment designation for the area; EPA should protect public health and base its decision on all available data

Walter Smith (Stoney Beach Condo Assoc)	Concerned about air quality, wants EPA, MD, and Raven Power to bring power plants into full compliance; also asks for greater transparency and accountability including real time public notification
Anonymous Citizen	Unclear which nonattainment area the citizen supports
Anonymous Citizen	Supports nonattainment designation and require facility to control emissions
Anonymous Citizen	Concerned about air quality; compares area to Flint MI
Joseph Brazil (St. Charles County Council)	Supports nonattainment designation and require facility to control emissions
Kevin Driscoll, Manager (International Paper)	EPA should exclude IP from Dolet Hills nonattainment area
Leonard Hopkins, VP (SIPC)	Williamson Cty should not be nonattainment (modeling pending)
Joe L. Citta (Nebraska Public Power District)	Trego, KS is appropriate for background concentration
Anonymous Citizen	Supports a nonattainment designation for the area; EPA should protect public health and base its decision on all available data
John Blair, President (Valley Watch)	Beware IDEM's manipulation of inputs for modeling
Craig Eckberg, Director of Env Services (NRG)	Both areas should be u/a based on most recent modeling
Robert Paine, VP (AECOM)	Area should be u/a based on most recent modeling (has beta options for some scenarios)
Lee Meadows (Public Citizen)	Dirty coal from area power plants lead to higher medical care costs and state budget costs; plants must be closed until they can consistently meet standards
Richard Orr (Public Citizen)	Supports nonattainment designation and require facility to control emissions
Anonymous Citizen	Supports a nonattainment designation for the area; EPA should protect public health and base its decision on all available data
Mark Bryant (resident and member of Valley Watch)	IDEM doesn't do a good job protecting human health, and EPA shouldn't assume that IDEM modeling is accurate
Janet Dittrich (Public Citizen)	Supports nonattainment designation and require facility to control emissions
Anonymous Citizen	Regulations improving air quality need ot be enacted
Bill Stoudt (Gregg County Judge)	EPA should exclude portions of Gregg County from nonattainment area and designate the county as attainment instead
Vicki Card, Env Section Supervisor (Colorado Springs Utilities)	Area should be attainment, and monitoring data should be considered
Chrissy Mann (Sierra Club)	Mass campaign, supports EPA's intended nonattainment designation for all 3 areas
Jacque Ostrom (Public Citizen)	Supports a nonattainment designation for the area; EPA should protect public health and base its decision on all available data

Anonymous Citizen	Supports nonattainment designation and require facility to control emissions
Tradepoint Atlantic	TPA property should not fall in the nonattainment area
Renee Fernandez (Public Citizen)	Enraged about high SO2 from Wagner; many questions asked in the comment letter
Mark Berry (Georgia Power)	EPA should not defer designation of Bibb County; background concentration used was too high
Chrissy Mann (Sierra Club)	Mass campaign, supports EPA's intended nonattainment designation for all 3 areas
Mary Lee Zetter (Public Citizen)	EPA should protect Anne Arundel County and the rest of MD from toxic emissions
Anonymous Citizen	Please reduce CO in Anne Arundel County
Richard Hyde (TCEQ)	All areas around any facility not proposed as U/A should be U/A
Anonymous Citizen	EPA should enforce its regulations on Wagner, because the impacts to Stoney Beach are very high
Carol Nohl (Public Citizen)	Facility should have scrubbers to help save the air; regulations need to be implemented and adhered to
Anonymous Citizen	Area power plants have high SO2 emissions, raising health costs are hitting an area trying to rebound
Anonymous Citizen	Pollution matters, citizen is very pro-environment
Bill Stoudt (Gregg County Judge)	EPA should exclude portions of Gregg County from nonattainment area and designate the county as attainment instead
Anonymous Citizen	Very concerned about high SO2 emissions in the area
Bob Hodanbosi (Ohio EPA)/ Brian Kolts (First Energy Corp)	Requesting acceptance of LW3 and Adjust U*; First Energy asserts that technical justification was given
Leslie Weise (Public Citizen), part 1	Supports a nonattainment designation for the area; EPA should protect public health and base its decision on all available data; lots of questions in these comments.
Leslie Weise (Public Citizen), part 2	Supports a nonattainment designation for the area; EPA should protect public health and base its decision on all available data; lots of questions in these comments.
Leslie Weise (Public Citizen), part 3	Supports a nonattainment designation for the area; EPA should protect public health and base its decision on all available data; lots of questions in these comments.
Leslie Weise (Public Citizen), part 4	Supports a nonattainment designation for the area; EPA should protect public health and base its decision on all available data; lots of questions in these comments.
Ohio Utility Group	Area should be attainment, based on purported beta option consultation/approval
Sandy Creek Services	Sandy Creek shouldn't be part of this round; but if it is actually required, attainment should be the designation
Indiana Municipal Power Agency	Supports the u/a around the facility
Duke Energy	Supports the u/a around the facility

Wabash Valley Power Association	Supports the u/a around the facility
Usha Turner (Og&E)	Muskogee not subject to July 2, 2016 round; facility has a conversion plan that will protect public health in the future
Anonymous Citizen	Supportive of EPA designating area as nonattainment so that emissions can be brought to safe levels
Wayne D'Angelo (on behalf of Alton Steel)	Opposes a nonattainment designation for the area; cites issues with AERMOD in the specific case of Alton
J Michael Brown (Ohio Valley Electric Corp)	Believes that area should be u/a based on beta options that OVEC asserts were justified for use
Kansas City Board of Public Utilities	Asserts that new modeling shows attainment; contends that emissions limits don't have to be in place by the time of designations (but can be after)
OK Chapter of Sierra Club	Supports nonattainment designation
Anonymous Citizen	Supportive of EPA designating area as nonattainment so that emissions can be brought to safe levels
Anonymous Citizen	Supportive of EPA designating area as nonattainment so that emissions can be brought to safe levels
NAAQS Implementation Coalition	Requests that EPA reconsider refusal to as the letter states, "allow LOWWIND3 in attainment demonstrations"
DTE duplicate of 0335	Fine with St. Clair; objects to unclassifiable for Monroe County because of the retirement date which hadn't occurred at the 120 day process; has a general concern with requiring the use of met data from NWS sites that have 1-minute wind data duplicate of 0335
Raven Power	Nonattainment for area is not supported by data; variations in results support u/a; the proposed large nonattainment area is unjustified
Environmental Defense Fund	Supports a nonattainment designation for the area; EPA should protect public health and base its decision on all available data; lots of questions in these comments.
Ameren Missouri	Area should at a worst case scenario, been proposed as unclassifiable (lots of exhibits)
American Electric Power Service Corp	EPA should consider beta options for Kyger; modeling done by Sierra Club for Dolet Hills has some inaccuracies (hourly exit gas and velocity, no emissions from IP Mansfield, etc)
Luminant	General dissatisfaction with intended nonattainment areas (and uncl for Sandow), asserts flaws in SC modeling, asserts that we prefer monitored data over modeling and therefore areas should be unclass or u/a, etc. (lot of reading to do on this one)

UARG	EPA should not "reject" beta options; when properly sited monitoring over a period of less than 3 years shows compliance, we should designate an area as uncl; we should use a designation of "attainment" and not u/a
Entergy Arkansas	Supports White Bluff u/a; continues to express a support that Independence is designated u/a instead of unclassifiable, and notes that EPA should be on the lookout for additional modeling for the area
Entergy Louisiana and Entergy Texas	seems to be missing attachments
Entergy Louisiana and Entergy Texas	seems to be missing attachments
AEC	Supports a nonattainment designation for the area; EPA should protect public health and base its decision on all available data; provides FTP for modeling files
P. Govindaswamy (Public Citizen)	Supportive of EPA designating area as nonattainment so that emissions can be brought to safe levels
Cleco on behalf of Dolet Hills	Area should be attainment with NAAQS
Calcasieu SO2 Stakeholders Group	Area should be attainment or U/A with NAAQS; also requests some flexibility of we use Uncl
DTE	Fine with St. Clair; objects to unclassifiable for Monroe County because of the retirement date which hadn't occurred at the 120 day process; has a general concern with requiring the use of met data from NWS sites that have 1-minute wind data
Louisiana Pulp and Paper	Paper mill should be excluded from final nonattainment area
Sierra Club	Opposes "uncl" for area around Drake; EPA should make the area nonattainment based on all available data
Sierra Club	Supports intended nonattainment; does not support use of beta options
Sierra Club	Supports intended nonattainment; does not support use of beta options
Sierra Club	SC has provided new modeling based on '13 - '15 showing exceedances of the standard; does not support use of beta options
Sierra Club	Area should be nonattainment based on SC and state modeling
Sierra Club	Area should be nonattainment; even if new limits were in effect by the right time, Indiana did not properly calculate a background concentration
Sierra Club	Supports intended nonattainment; does not support use of beta options
Sierra Club	Supports intended nonattainment designation

Sierra Club
Sierra Club

Does not believe that modeling provided by state supports
unclassifiable (one of the sources modeled by the state is
expected to shut down by April 19, 2016, but SC does not
believe that the 120 day TSD properly accounted for the limit)
Supports the intended nonattainment designation